

Tween Bridge Solar Farm

7.12 Statutory Undertakers Position Statement


Planning Act 2008
Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms
and Procedure) Regulations 2009

APFP Regulation 5(2)(q)

Document Reference: 7.12

| ~~September~~ October 2026

| Revision ~~5~~6



STATUTORY UNDERTAKERS POSITION STATEMENT

Table of Contents:

1 Introduction.....2

1.1 Purpose of this document.....2

2 Summary of current position with statutory undertakers 43

List of Tables:

Table 2-1: Statutory undertaker position schedule.....43

List of Figures:

No table of figures entries found.

1 Introduction

1.1. Purpose of this document

- 1.1.1. This purpose of this document is to set out the ~~current~~ position ~~of~~ regarding engagement between RWE Renewables UK Solar and Storage Ltd (the Applicant) and statutory undertakers (which includes, for ease of reference in this document, code operators as defined by the Communications Act 2003) that have assets or interests that would potentially be affected by Tween Bridge Solar Farm (the Scheme), as identified by the Book of Reference [Document Reference 4.3 Revision 6~~REP3-012~~]. This document ~~will~~ has been updated ~~during~~ throughout the Examination of the Development Consent Order (DCO) application, particularly to track the parties' negotiation of protective provisions and related agreements, as relevant.
- 1.1.2. In respect of each relevant statutory undertaker, Table 2-1 of this document summarises the status of negotiations between the parties. At Deadline 4, euNetworks Fiber UK Limited were removed from Table 2-1 because they do not have an interest in the Order Land identified in the Book of Reference and have previously confirmed that the Scheme would not affect their assets. On the other hand, Hutchinson 3G UK Limited and On Tower UK Limited were added to Table 2-1 at Deadline 4, alongside an update on the latest position, because these parties do have interests in the Order Land identified in the Book of Reference.
- 1.1.3. The Applicant's engagement with statutory undertakers follows the Applicant's pre-application statutory consultation as set out in the **Consultation Report [APP-022]**. This included notifying all relevant statutory undertakers and persons with an interest in the land under Section 42(a) and Section 42(d) of the Planning Act 2008 respectively. The Applicant sought to engage with statutory undertakers in response to feedback received to that consultation. Additionally, throughout the development of the Scheme prior to DCO application, the Applicant sought to engage with statutory undertakers identified through diligent inquiry as land interests. The activities undertaken as part of diligent inquiry are reported in the **Statement of Reasons [Document Reference 8.6 Revision 5~~REP4-007~~]**.
- 1.1.4. The approach taken by the Applicant to existing statutory undertaker apparatus is to avoid any conflict between the Scheme and that existing apparatus. The effect of this approach is that there is not presently expected to be any requirement to divert existing statutory undertaker apparatus as part of the Scheme. However, Powers-it is necessary for powers are to be included within the **draft DCO [Document Reference 3.1 Revision 108]** ~~which would~~ to enable the Applicant to

STATUTORY UNDERTAKERS POSITION STATEMENT

carry out diversions to such apparatus, where required, but it is not currently expected that those powers will need to be relied on should this prove to be necessary in order to construct the Scheme following further analysis at the detailed design stage when a contractor has been appointed.

2 Summary of current position with statutory undertakers

- 2.1.1. Table 2-1 provides a summary of the position between the Applicant and each relevant statutory undertaker in relation to each individual asset affected.
- 2.1.2. The table includes traffic light model to indicate the progress of engagement between each statutory undertaker and the Applicant:
 - Green – There are no outstanding issues in dispute between the parties.
 - Amber – There are outstanding issues between the parties and engagement is ongoing.
 - Red – There are outstanding issues between the parties and engagement is not ongoing at the current time.
- 2.1.3. The Applicant notes that, through continued engagement, substantial progress has been made in agreeing the drafting of protective provisions with statutory undertakers. Only a limited number of specific provisions remain outstanding between the Applicant and the individual statutory undertakers. These discrete points of disagreement are, where relevant, identified in Section 4 of the Applicant’s Preferred Protective Provisions Justification [Document Reference 8.23, Revision 4], which sets out in detail the basis for the Applicant’s position in respect of each matter.

Table 2-1: Statutory undertaker position schedule

Statutory undertaker (SU)	Latest position	Status
---------------------------	-----------------	--------

STATUTORY UNDERTAKERS POSITION STATEMENT

British Telecommunications PLC (Openreach)	<u>The</u> Protective Provisions were issued <u>to BT</u> on 3 June 2025 and the Applicant ~~awaits~~ <u>has not received</u> a response. ~~The Applicant has contacted Openreach again to request a relevant contact and awaits a response.~~ The Applicant considers that the protective provisions included at Schedule 14, Part 2 of the draft DCO [Document Reference 3.1 Revision 108] for the benefit of operators of electronic communications code networks provides adequate protection to ~~British Telecommunications PLC~~ <u>BT</u>. ~~The Applicant awaits confirmation that British Telecommunications PLC are satisfied with the protection offered. No~~ <u>In addition, no</u> representation has been made by ~~British Telecommunications PLC~~ <u>BT</u> in respect of the Application to date, <u>nor is there anything to indicate that BT has any concerns with the protective provisions included at Schedule 14, Part 2 of the draft DCO.</u> <u>Accordingly, the Applicant has fairly assumed that there are no outstanding matters between the parties and has updated the 'status' column to reflect this at Deadline 8.</u>	
---	--	--

STATUTORY UNDERTAKERS POSITION STATEMENT

Hutchinson 3G UK Limited	The Applicant considers that the protective provisions included at Schedule 14, Part 2 of the draft DCO [Document Reference 3.1 Revision 108], for the benefit of operators of electronic communications code networks, provide an appropriate and highly precedented level of protection to Hutchinson 3G UK Limited. Nevertheless, the Applicant ~~has~~ contacted Hutchison 3G UK Limited to seek confirmation that it is content with the proposed protective provisions and that it has no other matters to raise. ~~A response is still~~<u>No response has been received</u> ~~awaited.~~ ~~No~~<u>In addition, no</u> representation has been made by Hutchinson 3G UK Limited in respect of the Application, <u>nor is there anything to suggest that it has any concerns with the protective provisions included at Schedule 14, Part 2 of the draft DCO to date.</u> <u>Accordingly, the Applicant has fairly assumed that there are no outstanding matters between the parties and has updated the 'status' column to reflect this at Deadline 8.</u>	
On Tower UK Limited	The Applicant considers that the protective provisions included at Schedule 14, Part 2 of the draft DCO [Document Reference 3.1	

STATUTORY UNDERTAKERS POSITION STATEMENT

	Revision 108], for the benefit of operators of electronic communications code networks, provide an appropriate and highly precedented level of protection to On Tower UK Limited. Nevertheless, the Applicant ~~has~~ contacted On Tower UK Limited to seek confirmation that it is content with the proposed protective provisions and that it has no other matters to raise. ~~A response is still awaited~~<u>No response has been received.</u> ~~No~~<u>In addition, no representation has been made by On Tower UK Limited in respect of the Application, nor is there anything to suggest it has concerns with the protective provisions included at Schedule 14, Part 2 of the draft DCO</u>~~to date.~~ <u>Accordingly, the Applicant has fairly assumed that there are no outstanding matters between the parties and has updated the 'status' column to reflect this at Deadline 8.</u>	
Cadent Gas Limited	The Applicant <u>has been in correspondence with Cadent for a substantial period of time dating back to the pre-application stage</u>~~is in ongoing discussions with Cadent Gas regarding the protective provisions and will continue to engage with them to seek to agree protective~~	

STATUTORY UNDERTAKERS POSITION STATEMENT

~~provisions for the benefit of Cadent Gas prior to the close of the examination.~~

The Applicant and Cadent have exchanged ~~a~~ further ~~several~~ sets of comments on protective provisions for Cadent's benefit and the Applicant is pleased to note that substantial progress has been made. There ~~remains one point that is yet to be agreed~~ is one point on which it has not been possible to reach agreement, namely— Cadent's insistence on a compulsory acquisition veto mechanism provision,— and this is detailed within the **Justification for the Applicant's preferred protective provisions [Document Reference 8.23 Revision 42]**. ~~In view of~~ Given the nature of the matter outstanding ~~and for the reasons explained in that document, the Applicant does not anticipate that an agreement will be reached with Cadent in relation to this matter before the end of the examination,~~ further discussions are not currently taking place and, as a result, a full agreement is not expected with Cadent prior to the close of the examination. However, in all other respect, the protective provisions for Cadent are agreed.

At Deadline 85, the Applicant ~~has~~ included the protective provisions for the benefit of Cadent;

STATUTORY UNDERTAKERS POSITION STATEMENT

		at Part 9 of Schedule 14 of the Draft DCO [Document Reference 3.1 Revision 108] to reflect the version ~~that is~~ agreed in principle (with the exception of the aforementioned veto mechanism) between the parties. <u>The Applicant remains open to further dialogue with Cadent where this is likely to result in a full agreement being reached.</u>	
Exolum System Ltd	Pipeline	The Applicant <u>has been engaging</u> ~~is in ongoing discussions~~ with Exolum Pipeline System Ltd regarding the protective provisions <u>throughout the examination.</u> ~~and will continue to engage with them to seek to agree protective provisions for the benefit of Exolum prior to the close of the examination. The Applicant is confident agreement will be reached on many if not all of the points which are outstanding before the end of the examination and has returned comments to Exolum on the latest set of protective provisions ahead of Deadline 5.~~ At Deadline <u>85</u>, the Applicant ~~has~~ updated the protective provisions for the benefit of Exolum in Part 6 of Schedule 14 of the Draft DCO [Document Reference 3.1 Revision 108] <u>to reflect further points of drafting that have</u>	

STATUTORY UNDERTAKERS POSITION STATEMENT

		<u>been agreed between the parties. At ~~the close of examination~~ Deadline 8, the only outstanding points of drafting between the parties relate to the indemnity clause submitted at Deadline 5, to reflect the current status of the discussions between the parties. These updates incorporate both amendments agreed between the Applicant and Exolum and also provisions on which agreement has not yet been reached. The matters drafting which remains unresolved at Deadline 8 are is reflected in Table 3 in the Justification for the Applicant's Preferred Protective Provisions [Document Reference 8.23 Revision 42] submitted at Deadline 8.</u> <u>Given the nature of the point on which the parties are in disagreement and the clear positions adopted by each party, further discussions are not currently taking place and, as a result, a full agreement is not expected with Exolum prior to the close of the examination. The Applicant remains open to further dialogue with Exolum where this is likely to result in a full agreement being reached.</u>	
National Gas Transmission (NGT)	Gas	NGT ~~has~~ confirmed [AS-025] that they are not impacted by the Scheme and ~~no longer~~ <u>do not</u>	

STATUTORY UNDERTAKERS POSITION STATEMENT

		require protective provisions in the Order or to participate in the Examination process.	
National Electricity Transmission (NET)	Grid PLC	The Applicant <u>has been in correspondence with NGET for a substantial period of time dating back to the pre-application stage</u> ~~is in ongoing discussions with NGET regarding the protective provisions and will continue to engage with NGET to seek to agree protective provisions prior to the close of the examination.~~ The Applicant has <u>exchanged several</u> ~~received a further set~~s of comments on the protective provisions ~~from with~~ NGET ~~and the Applicant will respond to these comments shortly. The Applicant and~~ has <u>reached agreement in many places. The Applicant has</u> ~~not~~ included the protective provisions for NGET in the Draft DCO [Document Reference 3.1 Revision 108] ~~at Deadline 5 as it is considered that there had not been sufficient progress on the outstanding matters of disagreement to justify an update at this point~~ <u>at Deadline 8.</u> The Applicant ~~is confident agreement will be reached on many of the outstanding points prior to the close of the examination but, as a minimum~~ <u>has set out details of the small number of outstanding areas of disagreement with NGET in the Justification for the</u>	

STATUTORY UNDERTAKERS POSITION STATEMENT

	<u>Applicant's preferred protective provisions [Document Reference 8.23 Revision 4]</u>, ~~and does not consider that agreement will be reached in relation to NGET's insistence upon a veto mechanism, for the sets included reasons explained in detail the justification for the Applicant's preferred position on these points. in the Justification for the Applicant's preferred protective provisions [Document Reference 8.23 Revision 2].~~ <u>Given the nature of the points on which the parties are in disagreement and the clear positions adopted by each party, further discussions are not currently taking place and, as a result, a full agreement is not expected with NGET prior to the close of the examination. The Applicant remains open to further dialogue with NGET where this is likely to result in a full agreement being reached</u>	
Northern Powergrid (NPG)	The Applicant <u>has been in correspondence with NPG</u> ~~GET~~ <u>for a substantial period of time dating back to the pre-application stage.</u> ~~is in ongoing discussions with NPG regarding the protective provisions and will continue to engage with NPG to agree to the protective provisions prior to the close of the examination.~~ The Applicant <u>and</u> ~~has been provided with a~~	

STATUTORY UNDERTAKERS POSITION STATEMENT

~~copy of~~ NPG have reached agreement on many aspects of the 's preferred protective provisions for NPG's benefit. ~~and returned comments on 29 June 2026. The Applicant is now awaiting a response. The Applicant contacted NPG to follow up, most recently on 24 July 2026 but comments are still awaited. Accordingly, the Applicant did not consider that sufficient progress had been made on the outstanding matters between the parties to justify~~ The Applicant has included the inclusion of the protective provisions for the benefit of NPG within the **Draft DCO [Document Reference 3.1 Revision 108]** at Deadline 85. ~~However, the~~ small number of outstanding points between the Applicant and NPG are reflected in Table 7 of the Justification for the **Applicant's Preferred Protective Provisions [Document Reference 8.23 Revision 42]**.

Given the nature of the points on which the parties are in disagreement and the clear positions adopted by each party, further discussions are not currently taking place and, as a result, a full agreement is not expected with NPG prior to the close of the examination. The Applicant remains open to further dialogue

STATUTORY UNDERTAKERS POSITION STATEMENT

	<u>with NPG where this is likely to result in a full agreement being reached</u>	
Severn Trent Water	The Applicant met with Severn Trent Water on 26 July 2025 and it was confirmed that no issues were identified with the Scheme or proposed Protective Provisions in Part 1 of Schedule 14 of the draft DCO [Document Reference 3.1 Revision 8] .	
Yorkshire Water Services Limited	Protective Provisions were issued on 3 June 2025 and the Applicant ~~awaits~~ <u>has not received</u> a response <u>either to that or follow up contact</u>. ~~The Applicant has contacted Yorkshire Water again to confirm whether they wish to comment on the Protective Provisions and awaits a response.~~ The Applicant considers that the protective provisions included at Schedule 14, Part 1 of the draft DCO [Document Reference 3.1 Revision 108] for the benefit of protection for electricity, gas, water and sewerage undertakers provide adequate protection to Yorkshire Water Services Limited. ~~The Applicant awaits confirmation that~~ <u>Whilst</u> Yorkshire Water Services Limited <u>has not specifically confirmed its satisfaction</u> ~~are~~	

STATUTORY UNDERTAKERS POSITION STATEMENT

	~~satisfied~~ with the protection offered, <u>the absence of any contact with the Applicant or submissions during the course of the examination would suggest that Yorkshire Water are content with the proposals.</u> <u>Accordingly, the Applicant has fairly assumed that there are no outstanding matters between the parties and updated the 'status' column to reflect this at Deadline 8.</u>	
Lumen Technologies	Lumen Technologies confirmed on 16 June 2025 that the Scheme would have no effect on their assets.	
Isle of Axholme and North Nottinghamshire Water Level Management Board (IANWLMB)	~~The Applicant met with IANWLMB on 9 July 2025 and discussions are at an early stage in relation to the proposed protective provisions.~~ ~~The Applicant considers that the protective provisions for the benefit of drainage authorities included at Schedule 14, Part 3 of the draft DCO [Document Reference 3.1 Revision 8] provide adequate protection for IANWLMB but welcomes comments from IANWLMB. A further substantive update will be provided at Deadline 6 via this document and the Justification for the Applicant's~~	

STATUTORY UNDERTAKERS POSITION STATEMENT

~~preferred protective provisions [Document Reference 8.23 Revision 2].~~

~~The Applicant received IANWLMB's comments on the protective provisions for the benefit of drainage authorities included at Schedule 14, Part 3 of the Draft DCO [Document Reference 3.1 Revision 10] on 17 September 2026 ahead of Deadline 6. There were two outstanding points of drafting between the parties. Since Deadline 6, the Applicant has been engaging in discussions with IANWLMB with a view to agree the outstanding points. At Deadline 8, there is one matter in relation to the protective provisions for Drainage Authorities in Schedule 14, Part 3 of the draft DCO [Document Reference 3.1 Revision 10], on which the that the parties disagree on which relates to the indemnity clause parties disagree. The Applicant's position on this final outstanding point is set out in Table 9 in of the Justification for the Applicant's Preferred Protective Provisions [Document Reference 8.23 Revision 4] submitted at Deadline 8.~~

~~Given the nature of the point on which the parties are in disagreement and the clear positions adopted by each party, further discussions are not currently taking place and,~~

STATUTORY UNDERTAKERS POSITION STATEMENT

	<u>as a result, a full agreement is not expected with IANWLMB prior to the close of the examination. The Applicant remains open to further dialogue with IANWLMB where this is likely to result in a full agreement being reached.</u>	
Doncaster East IDB (DEIDB)	<u>At Deadline 8, there is one matter in relation to the protective provisions for Drainage Authorities in Schedule 14, Part 3 of the draft DCO [Document Reference 3.1 Revision 10], on which the parties disagree. The Applicant's position on this outstanding point is set out in Table 9 of the Justification for the Applicant's Preferred Protective Provisions [Document Reference 8.23 Revision 4] submitted at Deadline 8.</u> <u>Given the nature of the point on which the parties are in disagreement and the clear positions adopted by each party, further discussions are not currently taking place and, as a result, a full agreement is not expected with DEIDB prior to the close of the examination. The Applicant remains open to further dialogue with DEIDB where this is likely to result in a full agreement being reached</u> ~~The Applicant met with DEIDB on 9 July 2025 and~~	

STATUTORY UNDERTAKERS POSITION STATEMENT

~~discussions are at an early stage in relation to the proposed protective provisions.~~

~~The Applicant considers that the protective provisions included at Schedule 14, Part 3 of the **draft DCO [Document Reference 3.1 Revision 8]** for the benefit of drainage authorities provide adequate protection for DEIDB but welcomes comments from DEIDB. A further substantive update will be provided at Deadline 6 via this document and the **Justification for the Applicant's preferred protective provisions [Document Reference 8.23 Revision 2]**.~~

~~The Applicant received DEIDB's comments on the protective provisions for the benefit of drainage authorities included at Schedule 14, Part 3 of the Draft DCO [**Document Reference 3.1 Revision 10**] on 17 September 2026 ahead of Deadline 6. There were two outstanding points of drafting between the parties. Since Deadline 6, the Applicant has been engaging in discussions with DEIDB with a view to agree the outstanding points. At Deadline 8 there is one matter that the parties disagree on which relates to the indemnity clause. The Applicant's position on this final outstanding point is set out in Table 9 in the Justification~~

STATUTORY UNDERTAKERS POSITION STATEMENT

	<u>for the Applicant's Preferred Protective Provisions [Document Reference 8.23 Revision 4] submitted at Deadline 8.</u>	
Network Rail	The Applicant <u>has been in correspondence with NR for a substantial period of time, dating back to the pre-application stage.</u> ~~considers that the protective provisions included at Schedule 14, Part 8 of the draft DGO [Document Reference 3.1 Revision 8] for the benefit of those with railway interests provides adequate protection for Network Rail (NR).~~ ~~The Applicant is in ongoing discussions with NR regarding the protective provisions and returned an updated iteration of the protective provisions on 23 July 2026 and will continue to engage with NR to seek to agree the protective provisions for the benefit of NR prior to the close of the examination.~~ <u>The Applicant notes that NR's preferred position remains that its standard protective provisions should be included in any made Order. The Applicant considers that, taking into account the limited nature and extent of the interface between the Scheme and the railway network, a number of amendments to those provisions are appropriate and justified. The</u>	

STATUTORY UNDERTAKERS POSITION STATEMENT

Applicant's position is that any agreed amendments should be reflected in the protective provisions included in the Order itself. The Applicant has communicated this position to NR during the Examination.

In the interests of making positive progress, ~~the~~ the Applicant ~~previously~~ ~~has~~ updated the protective provisions for the benefit of NR at Part 8 of Schedule 14 of the **Draft DCO [Document Reference 3.1 Revision 8]** submitted at Deadline 5. ~~Those~~ ~~e~~ revisions ~~were made~~ ~~are~~ limited only to drafting from NR's standard —protective provisions that the Applicant agreed to incorporate.

The Applicant and NR have subsequently been unable to reach an agreement on any revisions to NR's standard protective provisions.

Accordingly, at Deadline 8, the Applicant has updated the protective provisions in Schedule 14, Part 8 (for the protection of Network Rail) of the **Draft DCO [Document Reference 3.1 Revision 10]** to incorporate the amendments to NR's standard protective provisions that it considers reasonable and appropriate.

The Applicant has explained its reasoning for these amendments in detail in the justification

STATUTORY UNDERTAKERS POSITION STATEMENT

	<u>for the Applicant's preferred protective provisions [Document Reference 8.23 Revision 3], updated at Deadline 8.</u> <u>Given the nature of the points on which the parties are in disagreement and the clear positions adopted by each party, further discussions are not currently taking place and, as a result, a full agreement is not expected with NR prior to the close of the examination</u>~~matters on which agreement has been reached between the Applicant and NR at the current time.~~ <u>The Applicant remains open to further dialogue with NR where this is likely to result in a full agreement being reached</u>	
Canal and River Trust	~~The Applicant is in ongoing discussions with the Canal and River Trust regarding the protective provisions and will continue to engage with the Trust to seek to agree the protective provisions prior to the close of the examination. The Applicant is confident agreement will be reached on many of the outstanding points prior to the close of the examination however, as a minimum, does not consider that agreement will be reached in relation to the Trust's insistence upon a veto mechanism, for the reasons explained in substantial detail in the~~ Justification for the Applicant's preferred	

STATUTORY UNDERTAKERS POSITION STATEMENT

~~protective provisions [Document Reference 8.23 Revision 2].~~

The Applicant has been in correspondence with the CRT for a substantial period of time dating back to the pre-application stage.

The Applicant has engaged productively with the CRT throughout the Examination, and is pleased to note that substantial progress has been made in resolving numerous areas of disagreement.

In relation to the protective provisions, the Applicant has exchanged several sets of comments on the protective provisions with the CRT and t~~The Applicant and the Canal and River Trust~~CRT had a productive meeting on 8 July 2026 to discuss the protective provisions. ~~The Applicant returned an updated iteration of the protective provisions to the Trust on 28 July 2026.~~

The Applicant subsequently ~~has~~ updated the protective provisions for CRT in Part 4 of Schedule 14 in the **Draft DCO [Document Reference 3.1 Revision 10 8]** submitted at Deadline 5. Those protective provisions are much more closely aligned with the CRT's preferred protective provisions and

STATUTORY UNDERTAKERS POSITION STATEMENT

incorporate a number of amendments agreed between the Applicant and the CRT. ~~The updates to the protective provisions at Deadline 5 incorporate a number of amendments agreed between the Applicant and the CRT but also~~ However, those protective provisions included a small number of matters which ~~are~~ were unresolved, ~~and on which the~~ While the Applicant ~~will continue to engage with~~ and the CRT continued to engage constructively on these matters, ~~with a view to reaching~~ it has not subsequently been possible to reach agreement. The Applicant's has explained its positions on these outstanding matters in detail in the **Justification for the Applicant's Preferred Protective Provisions [Document Reference 8.23 Revision 3]**, updated at Deadline 8.

Given the nature of the points on which the parties are in disagreement and the clear positions adopted by each party, further discussions are not currently taking place and, as a result, a full agreement is not expected with the CRT prior to the close of the examination. The Applicant remains open to further dialogue with the CRT where this is likely to result in a full agreement being reached

STATUTORY UNDERTAKERS POSITION STATEMENT

Environment Agency	The Applicant has been in ongoing discussions with the Environment Agency regarding the protective provisions and has agreed a set of the protective provisions for the benefit of the Environment Agency which are included at Schedule 14, Part 5 of the draft DCO [Document Reference 3.1 Revision 108].	
National Highways	~~The Applicant considers that the protective provisions included at Schedule 14, Part 7 of the draft DCO [Document Reference 3.1 Revision 8] for the benefit of National Highways provide adequate protection for its interests.~~ ~~Notwithstanding that this is the Applicant's firm position,~~ <u>The Applicant has been in correspondence with NH for a substantial period of time, dating back to the pre-application stage.</u> <u>The Applicant has engaged productively with NH throughout the Examination, and is pleased to note that substantial progress has been made in resolving numerous areas of disagreement.</u> <u>In relation to the protective provisions, the Applicant has exchanged several sets of</u>	

STATUTORY UNDERTAKERS POSITION STATEMENT

	<u>comments on the protective provisions with NH and</u> ~~in view of NH's comments to date, the Applicant is working with NH to seek to agree upon a mutually acceptable form of protective provisions for NH's benefit. The Applicant provided NH with a revised version of the protective provisions on 29 May 2026, and the parties held a productive meeting on 11 June 2026 to discuss the outstanding points.~~ Discussions ~~have~~ continued positively since that meeting, and further progress <u>was</u> ~~has been~~ made towards resolving the remaining issues. -The Applicant <u>has updated the protective provisions for NH in Part 7 of Schedule 14 in the Draft DCO [Document Reference 3.1 Revision 10] submitted at Deadline 8.</u> <u>Those protective provisions incorporate a number of amendments agreed between the Applicant and NH.</u> <u>However, those protective provisions also include a number of matters on which it has not been possible to reach agreement. The Applicant has explained its positions on these outstanding matters in detail in the Justification for the Applicant's Preferred</u>	
--	---	--

STATUTORY UNDERTAKERS POSITION STATEMENT

	<u>Protective Provisions [Document Reference 8.23 Revision 3], updated at Deadline 8.</u> <u>Given the nature of the points on which the parties are in disagreement and the clear positions adopted by each party, further discussions are not currently taking place and, as a result, a full agreement is not expected with NH prior to the close of the examination. The Applicant remains open to further dialogue with NH where this is likely to result in a full agreement being reached.</u> ~~is currently awaiting from NH receipt of a further version of the protective provisions for comment. Accordingly, the Applicant did not consider that there had been sufficient progress on outstanding matters to justify updating the protective provisions for the benefit of NH in Part 7 of Schedule 14 of the Draft DCO [Document Reference 3.1. Revision 8] at Deadline 5. A further update will be provided at Deadline 6 via this document and the Justification for the Applicant's preferred protective provisions [Document Reference 8.23 Revision 2].~~	
City of Doncaster Council <u>and North</u>	<u>The Applicant can confirm that agreement has been reached with City of Doncaster Council</u>	

STATUTORY UNDERTAKERS POSITION STATEMENT

<u>Lincolnshire Council</u> as Highway Authority	<u>with regards to the protective provisions for local highways authorities in Schedule 14, Part 10 of the draft DCO [Document Reference 3.1 Revision 10]. The Applicant can also confirm that the Applicant is considering the final wording within the protective provisions with regards to North Lincolnshire Council and the final position will follow at Deadline 9. NLC has made a late request for the draft DCO to expressly reference and apply the NLC permit scheme. The draft DCO currently references only the CDC permit scheme, and the Applicant had not previously understood that this gave rise to any concern. The Applicant is reviewing the request and will provide an update at Deadline 9 confirming its position</u>~~The Applicant is in early discussions with City of Doncaster Council as Highway Authority (CDC) regarding protective provisions for the benefit of CDC. The Applicant has included an early draft of the protective provisions for CDC in Part 10 of Schedule 14 of the Draft DCO [Document Reference 3.1 Revision 8] submitted at Deadline 5. These are not currently agreed in all respects however the Applicant is committed to continuing engagement with CDC with a view to reaching agreement on the protective provisions by the close of~~	
---	---	--

STATUTORY UNDERTAKERS POSITION STATEMENT

	examination. A further update will be provided at Deadline 6.	
--	---	--

STATUTORY UNDERTAKERS POSITION STATEMENT
